

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44*bis*)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 663718C	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/AU2004/000529	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 22 April 2004 (22.04.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)]
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC ⁷ H04Q 7/38		
Applicant THISS TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD		

1.		This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .1(a).																								
2.		<p>This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p>In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.</p>																								
3.		<p>This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 10%; text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 30%;">Box No. I</td> <td>Basis of the report</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td>Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td>Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td>Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td>Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td>Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																								
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																								
4.		The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44 <i>bis</i> .3(c) and 93 <i>bis</i> .1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44 <i>bis</i> .2).																								

<p style="text-align: center;">The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35</p>	<p>Date of issuance of this report 28 October 2005 (28.10.2005)</p> <p>Authorized officer Dorothee Mülhausen</p> <p>Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40</p>
--	---

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED	
29 JUN 2004	
WIPO	PCT

From the:
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

SPRUSON & FERGUSON
GPO Box 3898
SYDNEY NSW 2001

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 663718C		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 21 JUN 2004
		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/AU2004/000529	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 April 2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 April 2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Int. Cl.⁷ H04Q 7/38		
Applicant THISS TECHNOLOGIES PTE LTD et al		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustalia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929	Authorized Officer RICHARD REED Telephone No. (02) 6283 7927
---	---

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000529

Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
------------------	-----------------------------

- | | |
|----|--|
| 1. | With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

<input type="checkbox"/> This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. | With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

<input type="checkbox"/> a sequence listing
<input type="checkbox"/> table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

<input type="checkbox"/> in written format
<input type="checkbox"/> in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

<input type="checkbox"/> contained in the international application as filed.
<input type="checkbox"/> filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
<input type="checkbox"/> furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| 3. | <input type="checkbox"/> In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. | Additional comments: |

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000529

Box No. V **Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims 1 to 23	YES
	Claims None	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1 to 23	YES
	Claims None	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 to 23	YES
	Claims None	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The following documents were cited in the associated International Search Report:

D1 = GB 2377343 A (IPWIRELESS INC.) 8 January 2003

D2 = WO 2001/003459 A1 (ERICSSON INC.) 11 January 2001

D3 = US 5978368 A (HJELM et al) 2 November 1999

D4 = WO 1988/004497 A1 (PLESSEY OVERSEAS LIMITED et al) 16 June 1988

Novelty

Not one of D1 to D4 teach the claimed arrangement or method. There is no teaching of a central station broadcasting a list of available resources and mobile stations notifying the central station of seized resources.

Inventive Step

Documents D1 to D4, individually or collectively, teach or suggest the claimed arrangement or method. There is no suggestion in any form from these documents or any known basis to conclude a central station broadcasting a list of available resources and mobile stations notifying the central station of seized resources is other than involving an inventive step.

Industrial Applicability

The claimed invention has industrial applicability in the field of mobile telecommunications.